UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,546	01/27/2005	Hidetsugu Ikeda	OHTN:021	8499
27890 7590 11/28/2007 STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP			EXAMINER	
1330 CONNEC	CTICUT AVENUE, N.	W.	CROUSE, BRETT ALAN	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
•	·			-
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/522,546	IKEDA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brett A. Crouse	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 S This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-15 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 15 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration. r election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☑ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the amendment, filed 12 September 2007, which cancels claims 3 and 4, amends claims 1, 2, 9, and 13, and adds new claim 15. Claims 1, 2, and 5-15 are pending.

Response to Amendment

The rejections of:

claims 9 and 13 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph;

claims 1-9 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shi et al., US

5,935,721;

claims 1, 3, 5, 7-9 and 14 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kohama et al.,

JP 2002-063988;

claims 1-10 and 12-14 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Maki et al., JP

2000-012229;

claim 10 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shi et al., US 5,935,721;

and

claim 11 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Maki et al., JP 2000-012229;

are overcome by the amendment, filed 12 September 2007.

Miscellaneous

Art Unit: 1794

The miscellaneous issues raised in the previous office action have been overcome by the amendment, filed 12 September 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marvel et al., Journal of the American Chemical Society, (1939), Volume 61, Pages 895-897.

The reference teaches the synthesis of 2,8-Diphenylchrysene. This meets the limitations of formula (1), claim 1, when Ch is chrysene and Ar¹ and Ar² are phenyl. 2,8-Diphenylchrysene also meets the limitations of formula (3), claim 2, when Ch³ is chrysene, a and b are 1, L¹ and L² are single bonds, and Ar³ and Ar⁴ are phenyl.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Innes, US 3,711,567 hereinafter known as Innes.

Innes teaches:

Column 4, line 15 through column 5, line 40, examples 1-4, teach substituted and unsubstituted bitriphenylene. This meets the limitations of formula (2) of claim 1, when L is a single bond and Ch¹ and Ch² are triphenylene.

Art Unit: 1794

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reimlinger, US 3,121,122, hereinafter known as Reimlinger.

Reimlinger teaches:

Column 4, line 73 through column 5, line 23, formula (F), teaches 1,4-Diphenyl Triphenylene. This meets the limitations of formula (1), claim 1, when Ch is triphenylene and Ar1 and Ar2 are phenyl. 1,4-Diphenyl Triphenylene also meets the limitations of formula (3), claim 2, when Ch³ is triphenylene, a and b are 1, L¹ and L² are single bonds, and Ar³ and Ar⁴ are phenyl.

Claims 1, 2, and 5-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ikeda et al., JP 2000-273055, hereinafter known as Ikeda.

Ikeda teaches:

Paragraph [0003], teaches a basic electroluminescent device structure.

<u>Paragraph [0005]</u>, teaches the compounds of formula (I) can be used as a component in an electroluminescent device.

Paragraphs [0010]-[0013], formula (I), teach a compound of formula (I) useful as a luminous material. Condensed multi-ring compounds including triphenylene, chrysene, and perylene

Paragraph [0018], compound (B-2), a triphenylene derivative meeting the limitations of formula (1) of claim 1 and formula (3) of claim 2.

Art Unit: 1794

Paragraph [0019], compound (B-3), teaches a chrysene derivative meeting the limitations of formulae (1) and (2) of claim 1, and formula (3) of claim 2. The compound above it on the page also meets the limitations of formula (1) of claim 1 and formula (3) of claim 2.

Paragraph [0020], fourth compound from the top of page, teaches a perylene derivative meeting the limitations of formula (1) of claim 1 and formula (3) of claim 2.

Paragraph [0021], compound (A-7), teaches a perylene derivative meeting the limitations of formula (1) of claim 1 and formula (3) of claim 2.

<u>Paragraph [0033]</u>, teaches the compounds of the invention provide an electron transport function, a hole transport function, and luminescent material function.

<u>Paragraph [0034]</u>, teaches the compounds of the invention can be mixed with a styryl amine compounds in the luminous layer.

<u>Paragraph [0035]</u>, teaches that blue emission can be obtained in an electroluminescent device having a compound of formula (I) in the luminous layer. The passage additionally teaches perylene derivatives are suitable.

<u>Paragraphs [0068]-[0069]</u>, teach an electroluminescent device having a compound of formula (I) in the luminous layer.

Paragraphs [0070]-[0077], provide additional examples.

With regard to claims 5 and 6, the statements of intended use are accorded little patentable weight.

Art Unit: 1794

Claims 1, 2, and 5-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hosokawa et al., WO 2000/039247, hereinafter known as Hosokawa, as evidenced by Hosokawa et al., US 2003/0072966, which is being used as a translation.

Hosokawa teaches:

Paragraphs [0010]-[0040] and [0056], formulae (1, 3-11, 11'), teach a material for electroluminescent devices.

<u>Paragraphs [0047]-[0049]</u>, teach an electroluminescent device in which compounds of formulae (1, 3-11, 11'), can be used as a hole injection, hole transport, and light emitting material.

Paragraph [0099], provides various example compounds meeting the limitations of claims 1 and 2. Attention is directed to compounds (29), (32), (33), (34), (37), (38), (39), (42), (43), (44), (46), (54), (55), (56), (115), and (125).

Paragraph [0104], teaches that mixtures comprising the compounds of formulae (1, 3-11, 11') can be used as hole injection, hole transport, and light emitting materials. The paragraph additionally teaches blue light emission.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 15 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1794

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brett A. Crouse whose telephone number is 571-272-6494. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terell H. Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,546 Page 8

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/BAC/ 19 November 2007

TERREL MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700